## PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

	REC'D	2	9	AUG	2005
١	WIPO				PCT

• From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY To:

## **PCT**

R. BURNS ISRAELSEN								
WORKMAN NYDEGGER		WRITTEN OPINION OF THE						
1000 EAGLE GATE TOWRE 60 EAST SOUTH TEMPLE		WRITTEN OPINION OF THE						
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111		INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY						
Ond Dillo dilly de a			(PCT Rule 43bis.1)					
			(FCI Rule 45015.1)					
		Date of mailing	O C NUC 2005					
		(dav/month/vear)	2 6 AUG 2005					
Applicant's or agent's file reference		FOR FURTHER ACTION						
Applicant 3 of agent 5 mortions			See paragraph 2 below					
	International filing date	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)					
International application No.	International filling date (	(111)						
PCT/US04/23645	22 July 2004 (22.07.200	04) 25 July 2003 (25.07.2003)						
International Patent Classification (IPC)	or both national classification	ion and IPC	·					
IPC(7): G01R 31/02 and US Cl.: 324/771	. 522: 340/664; 361/96							
Applicant	<u> </u>		•					
1 ''								
POWER MEASUREMENT-LTD.								
	ating to the following item							
1. This opinion contains indications rela	atting to the following hen-	ы						
Box No. I Basis of the	e opinion							
	•							
Box No. II Priority								
Box No. III Non-establi	ishment of opinion with re	gard to novelty, inve	ntive step and industrial applicability					
Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention  Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement								
							Box No. VI Certain doc	cuments cited
Box No. VII Certain def	fects in the international a	pplication						
Box No. VIII Certain ob	servations on the internation	onal application	`					
THOMHED ACTION			′					
2. FURTHER ACTION	minary examination is m	ade, this opinion wil	l be considered to be a written opinion of the					
2. FURTHER ACTION  If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.								
			1					
If this opinion is, as provided about IPEA a written reply together, whe of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the			IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing e, whichever expires later.					
For further options, see Form PCT/	/ISA/220.	• •						
For turiner options, see Form PC17		•	·					
3. For further details, see notes to For	m PCT/ISA/220.		·					
0.1 704 /1	10	Authorized offic	det vo					
Name and mailing address of the ISA/ I	JS .	البريا. ها	dermitto la					
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US Commissioner for Patents	•	Tung X. Nguye	en b					
P.O. Box 1450	1	Telephone No.	(571) 272-1967					
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450	1	1 elepnone No.	(571) 272-1967					

Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230
Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (January 2004)

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/US04/23645

Box No.	. I Basis of this opinion
was fil	egard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it ed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.  This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2 13/645 -	egard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed ion, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
a.	type of material
	a sequence listing table(s) related to the sequence listing
b.	format of material  in written format  in computer readable form
c.	time of filing/furnishing  contained in international application as filed.  filed together with the international application in computer readable form.  furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3. 🗌	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4. Addi	tional comments:

Form PCT/ISA/237(Box No. I) (January 2004)

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US04/23645

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
1. Statement		VEG			
Novelty (N)	Claims <u>1-120</u>	YES			
	Claims NONE	NO			
Inventive step (IS)	Claima 1 120	YES			
	Claims 1-120	NO			
	Claims NONE				
	Claims 1-120	YES			
Industrial applicability (IA)	<del></del>	NO			
	Claims NONE				
·					

Claims 1-97 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest an apparatus and a method for mounting coupled with a power line carrying a high AC line voltage comprising a power supply wherein the power supply is operative to convert power flow between the conductive body and the power line into a supply of power at a voltage substantially lower than the high AC line voltage for operation of the electronic circuitry; in combination with the claimed features. Claims 98-120 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest an apparatus for Claims 98-120 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest an apparatus for Claims 98-120 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest an apparatus for Claims 98-120 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest an apparatus for Claims 98-120 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest an apparatus for Claims 98-120 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest an apparatus and a method features.

Claims 1-120 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus having industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed can be made or used in industry.